UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,981	07/03/2003	Louis Brown Abrams	4811-14	4355
22442 SHERIDAN RO	7590 10/02/200 DSS PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADW		JUSKA, CHERYL		
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,981	ABRAMS, LOUIS BROWN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl Juska	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 ∪</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 45-81 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) Claim(s) 45-55, 57, 59-69, 72-78, 80, and 81 6) Claim(s) 56,58,70,71 and 79 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration. is/are allowed.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a should be acceptable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/613,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed July 2, 2007, has been entered. Claims 46, 53, 59, 61, 72, and 74 have been amended as requested. Claims 1-44 are cancelled. Thus, the pending claims are 45-81.

Priority

2. It is noted that the first line of the specification has not been amended to reflect the proper priority documents as set forth in section 2 of the Office Action mailed 06/01/07. To reiterate, applicant traverses the assertion that the referenced provisional applications lack adequate support for the claimed elastic film and second, discontinuous adhesive layer (Amendment filed 03/27/07, page 9, 1st – 4th paragraph). Specifically, applicant cites several passages from US Provisional Application 60/403,992, filed August 16, 2002. This argument is unpersuasive since priority was granted to provisional applications 60/403,992 and 60/405473, but not the other three cited provisional applications 60/393,362, 60/416,098, and 60/443,986. From section 2 of the Office Action mailed 01/30/06 (emphasis added):

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. [1] as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551,

Application/Control Number: 10/613,981 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994). The disclosures of the prior-filed applications, **Application Nos. 60/393,362, 60/416,098, and 60/443,986**, fail to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Specifically, said prior-filed applications fail to provide support for the claimed elastic film and second, discontinuously distributed, adhesive layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 56, 58, 70, 71, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Said claims are rendered indefinite for using the improper Markush language "selected from the group consisting essentially of...." Proper Markush language employs the closed transitional phrase "consisting of." Note MPEP 2111.03 and 2173.05(h).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 45-55, 57, 59-69, 72-78, 80, and 81 are allowed. See section 9 of the last Office Action.
- 7. Claims 56, 58, 70, 71, and 79 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached

at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cheryl Juska/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771